

1	MINUTES							
2	Audit Committee Meeting							
3	June 5, 2020							
4	9:00 am to 11:00 am							
5	Presiding Officer: David Sky, Chair, Audit Committee							
6			0					
7	A meeting of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the New Hampshire Vaccine Association (NHVA)							
8	held via	Zoom Meeting with a que						
9								
10	The mee	The meeting was called to order by Mr. Sky at 9:00 am.						
11								
12	1.	Welcome and Introduct	ions					
13								
14	Attenda	nce – The following indiv	iduals attended the	meeting:				
15		Committee Members:		Other Attendes 9 Administrative Staffi				
				Other Attendee & Administrative Staff:				
		David Sky, NHID, Chair	iao Chain	Colleen Hagerty, NH DHHS, in place of Beth Daly				
		Susan Tenney, HPHC, V	ice Chair	Patrick Miller, Helms & Company				
		Jason Margus, Anthem		Erin Meagher, Helms & Company Keith Nix, Helms & Company				
		Committee Members	vcused:	Tony Mendez, Helms & Company				
		Beth Daly, NH DHHS	.xcuseu.	Tony Mendez, Heinis & company				
		been bury, wir brins		Members of the Public				
				Mark Rossetti, Contoocook				
16	Mr. Sky	opened the meeting stati	ng NHVA endeavors	to operate our organization pursuant to RSA 91-A:2, III(c), and				
17	pursuant to the Governor's emergency executive order #12. We are holding this meeting remotely and have provided public access to the meeting via Zoom Meeting. We have provided public notice on the NHVA website.							
18								
19	We have asked all members of the public to register their attendance. The info@NHVaccine.org email address is							
20	being monitored during this meeting in case additional requests to participate are received. If any member of the							
21	public is unable to access this meeting, we will cease business and adjourn the meeting in accordance with the							
22	emergency order. The NHVA's Public Commentary During Meeting statement was also read.							
23								
24	Mr. Sky then turned the meeting over to Mr. Miller for a roll call. Along with identifying attendees, Mr. Miller							
25	announced he was recording the meeting.							
26								
27	1. Review and Accept September 16, 2019 Audit Committee Minutes							
28								
29	-	asked if anyone had any	comments on the S	eptember 16, 2019 Audit Committee meeting minutes. Being				
30 21	none,							
31 32		COPPER: On a motion h	Mc Tonnou cocon	dad by Mr. Sky, it was upanimously				
32 33	VOIERI	VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Sky, it was unanimously VOTED: To accept the September 16, 2019 Audit Committee minutes as presented.						
34		VOILD.	to accept the Sep	temper 10, 2010 Addit committee minutes as presented.				

35 4. Executive Director Report

37 Mr. Miller explained he would review the unaudited financials through the end of April 2020, provide an update in
38 assessments collection and investments, and discuss the impact of COVID-19.



40 Mr. Miller reviewed the Statement of Cash Flow, highlighting collections are down slightly as we are awaiting a few 41 additional assessment payments for the current quarter. Updated numbers will be available at the June Board 42 meeting. Currently, investments are running ahead of budget, although it is expected to slowdown in the future 43 with an expected period of lower interest rates. The short-term investments and CDs are being managed by the 44 Bank of New Hampshire and their investment team. This is the money moved from the ICS count a few months ago. 45

- Mr. Miller then reviewed the Statement of Financial Position, highlighting the total assets of \$22,880,040. This
 includes the five million reserve fund and the liquidity reserves of \$250,000.
- 48

52

57

Mr. Nix reminded the group this is only a one-month picture being the first month of a quarter. He stated he will be
 reviewing the accounting of unrecognized gains/losses with our auditor Karen Carew during the audit process this
 summer to ensure the recent investment changes are properly displayed.

- 53 Mr. Miller reviewed the Statement of Changes in Net Assets. Understanding the quarter is not complete, expenses 54 compared to budget are running overall within expectations. Bank service charges and legal professional fees are 55 down, board meeting and website expenses are up a bit. Mr. Miller pointed out the average number of covered 56 lives are listed at the bottom of the report along with the variance of actual to projected from last year.
- 58 Regarding assessment collection for this current quarter, the final assessment payment was received this past 59 Wednesday; there were two refunds issued – one for \$4.26 for an overpayment and one for \$850 for a duplicate 60 payment; and three interest payments - \$4.05 and \$0.36 interest payments were received with assessment 61 payments and one \$94.08 interest invoice was issued.
- 62

63 Mr. Miller indicated he spoke with Dona Murray regarding the investments held with Bank of New Hampshire's 64 Wealth Management Team. The ICS interest rate is still at 50 basis points and the money market is at 43. She is 65 expecting a CD will likely get called in the next couple of days. The strategy is for the Bank of New Hampshire team 66 to monitor CDs as they are called and to move monies into the highest interest-bearing vehicle – CDs, money market 67 account, or ICS account. There are no current plans to move additional monies from ICS. Ms. Murray is available to 68 join a future NHVA meeting for questions or discussion if desired.

69

Finally, Mr. Miller reviewed a presentation developed to examine the COVID-19 pandemic and its effect on assessed lives. The presentation included background information, historical trends, a model of pre- and post-COVID-19 assumptions, and covered lives expectations. The intent of the presentation was to help start a discussion and to understand the impact the pandemic will have on assessable lives as unemployment results in a shift of commercial covered lives to Medicaid or uninsured lives.

75

Governor Sununu issued his first COVID-19 executive order on March 13th. Since then, the Governor has projected
 a budget shortfall of \$500M to \$700M in the current biennium.

78

79 Just a year ago the unemployment in New Hampshire was 2.5% and as of May 29th it was 18.5%. Today, the national 80 rate has dropped down to 13.3% with a jobs report gain of 2.5M jobs in May, with many economists seeing this as a 81 potential for a fast recovery. The NH Department of Employment Security looks at employment rate by County and 82 it has been dropping for the past seven weeks. Today, the Union Leader reported the drop was for the eighth 83 consecutive week. What we do not know is how many people in this population have lost insurance coverage. To 84 date, calendar year 2020 assessment receipts do not appear to have been impacted by the rising unemployment, 85 however, collections have only been received for covered lives in January, February and March. The Board did vote 86 last year to put \$5M aside for contingencies.

- 87
- Mr. Miller showed three different models predicting commercial losses including state-specific data from the Kaiser
 Family Foundation and Health Management Associates. New Hampshire's Medicaid enrollment changes month to

NHVA New Hampshire Vaccine Association

month were fairly stable between April 2019 and February 2020 but saw increases in March and April 2020 which
 could indicate a loss of commercially covered lives.

93 Mr. Miller met with the NH Department of Health and Human Service's Childhood Immunization Program last week 94 and developed a set of insights shown on page 18. Ms. Haggarty walked the group through the details which outline 95 the concerns the Department has had since the beginning of the pandemic and the actions the Department has 96 taken. They have been in very close contact with the healthcare practices since the beginning of the pandemic and 97 have provided technical guidance to ensure vaccination occurs and that no preventable disease outbreaks occur.

98

92

99 Monthly vaccine orders had been declining, but the Department saw an increase in vaccine orders for this past 100 month, the vaccination trend is going up and practices have designed different processes to ensure well visits are 101 taking place for young children, adolescents, and young adults. DHHS has not relaxed the childhood vaccination 102 requirements for school entry this fall. There are provisions in the Department's vaccine requirements for school 103 and childcare that allow some leeway depending on what school re-openings look like. The Department continues 104 to monitor vaccine quantity orders, meeting with their healthcare partners and school nurses on a regular basis.

105 106 Mr. Miller reviewed the slides showing historical expenditure, assessed lives, and assessment rates for context. The 107 Department is assuming that total State vaccine expenditure request totals will be similar for the upcoming fiscal 108 year. The assessed live trends remain constant with a slight decline year over year. The assessment rate has stabilized

- 109 in recent year from some of the swings seen in years past.
- 110

111 Mr. Miller presented a model of child lives and future assessment collections based upon shifts in commercially 112 covered lives due to COVID-19. The model inputs can be changed. The slides depict an 8% drop from Q1 CY2020 113 levels in Q2 CY2020, a 12% drop in Q3 CY2020, a 10% drop in Q4 CY2020, and a 9% drop in Q1 CY2021. These 114 assumptions are just a starting point and are based on national reports combined with conversations with the State. 115 The model depicts a cumulative fiscal loss of \$1,308,219 during the next four quarters. Mr. Sky asked Mr. Miller to 116 confirm that based upon the assumptions and the projected losses that an off-cycle rate assessment would not be 117 needed due to our reserves. Mr. Miller confirmed that there are more than adequate reserves to cover this loss 118 should it occur without doing an off cycle special assessment. There is a financial cushion which is planned to keep 119 rates stable in the coming years.

120

Mr. Miller asked the Insurance Department and Carrier partners to assist with proactively monitoring the change in child lives over the next several months to enable a more accurate CY2021 rate setting process that accounts for COVID-19 impacts. With unemployment claims already showing some declining trends and many employees having been furloughed and not necessarily losing benefits, the numbers are unclear and the need for monitoring is essential. By mid-August, as we are developing the rate for CY2021, we will have more information on job losses, unemployment, vaccine purchases, Medicaid enrollment, and the April, May and June carrier assessment numbers.

Mr. Sky asked about COVID-19's impact on the Department's upcoming request of NHVA to support the immunization program. Mr. Miller confirmed that in conversations with Ms. Haggerty, the related vaccine expenditure request should remain about the same as last year. Ms. Haggerty stated that DHHS is committed to working closely with NHVA to provide the best data to support the assessment process. Mr. Sky also indicated the Insurance Department will be forthcoming with data and what they are seeing in the marketplace to assist in this process. It was agreed that Mr. Miller would bring the full Board up to speed on this process and any concerns at the June Board meeting. The Committee decided that no additional actions were needed.

- 135
- 136

137

[Intentionally left blank]

138 139



 5. Auditor Selection and FY2021 Administrative Budget Mr. Miller reviewed the Carew & Wells engagement letter proposal and stated it replicates last year's process and budget. Mr. Nix indicated the work would begin in July. Mr. Miller asked for a vote from the Audit Committee to accept Carew & Wells' proposal. Mr. Sky ask for a motion. VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously VOTED: To approve Carew & Wells proposed engagement as auditor for FY2020. Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments.						
 Mr. Miller reviewed the Carew & Wells engagement letter proposal and stated it replicates last year's process and budget. Mr. Nix indicated the work would begin in July. Mr. Miller asked for a vote from the Audit Committee to accept Carew & Wells' proposal. Mr. Sky ask for a motion. VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously VOTED: To approve Carew & Wells proposed engagement as auditor for FY2020. Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Hems & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget as are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminate. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget.	140	5				
 budget. Mr. Nix indicated the work would begin in July. Mr. Miller asked for a vote from the Audit Committee to accept Carew & Wells' proposal. Mr. Sky ask for a motion. VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously WOTED: To approve Carew & Wells proposed engagement as auditor for FY2020. Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller of the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is 3148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative cores. As we move towards lower						
144 accept Carew & Wells' proposal. Mr. Sky ask for a motion. 145 VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously 147 VOTE D: To approve Carew & Wells proposed engagement as auditor for FY2020. 148 Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. 150 Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. 154 The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. 155 The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is 5148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative cost. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. 166 Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned t						
145 146VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously 147 147 148149 149Nore Correw & Wells proposed engagement as auditor for FY2020.148 149 149Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter.150 151 152Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included.154 155The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding.161 162 163 164 164 165 166 166 166 166 166 166 166 167 166 166 166 166 166 167 168 168 						
146VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously147VOTED:To approve Carew & Wells proposed engagement as auditor for FY2020.148Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter.150Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview151from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the153difference. A set of budget notes is included.154The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three156inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that158the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget159and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was160abut \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to161increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding.162The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021163Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been164budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative165for the sation of this.166Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees						
147VOTED:To approve Carew & Wells proposed engagement as auditor for FY2020.148Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter.150151151152153154155155156157158158159159150151152153154155155156157158158159159151151152153154155155156156157158158158159159150151151152153154155155156157158158159159150150151151151151151152155155156157158158159159150151151151152155156157157158159159150150 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>us it was unanimously</td>			us it was unanimously			
 Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher			-			
 Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller will facilitate signature by himself and Mr. Sky to execute the engagement letter. Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs relat			eu engagement us uuttor jor r r2020.			
 Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Wr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. W			ne engagement letter			
 Mr. Miller reviewed the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget. What is displayed is a month by month overview from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended Ge						
 from July 2020 through June 2021. It includes a comparison with the FY2020 budget and a column reflecting the difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there			nat is displayed is a month by month overview			
 difference. A set of budget notes is included. The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 						
 The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General						
 The majority of the budget is flat or reduced. Exceptions include the Administrative Fees include the year three inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the s		-				
 inflator for the Helms & Company contract. As we do not anticipate the need for any subcontractors this year, that line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 			e Administrative Fees include the year three			
 line is zeroed out. Bank fees have been reduced since Ms. Meagher was able to eliminate the lock box and brought the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 						
 the services in house while also focusing on increasing electronic payments. The Legal fees to date are under budget and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 						
 and this is left flat for FY2021. The biggest unknown variable is the D&O insurance. When Helms took over is was about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 						
 about \$8,000 a year; it was then rebid and dropped to \$2,000; and in January it increased closer to \$4,000 due to increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding. The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	159					
 The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	160					
 The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	161	1 increased cash on hand. This budget assumes level funding.				
 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen months has more than paid for the administrative costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	162	2				
 165 costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the Bank of New Hampshire, we still should receive enough interest to offset a good portion of this. 167 168 Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. 173 174 Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	163	3 The past four years' administrative budgets are shown and there is	a lot of variation. The total proposed FY2021			
 enough interest to offset a good portion of this. Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	164	4 budget is \$148,870The investment income in the past eighteen mon	ths has more than paid for the administrative			
 Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	165	5 costs. As we move towards lower interest rates as predicted by the B	ank of New Hampshire, we still should receive			
 Ms. Tenney asked about the bank fees being reflected on the budget, yet it was mentioned the lock box had been eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 	166	6 enough interest to offset a good portion of this.				
 eliminated. Mr. Nix mentioned there still were some bank fees related to the short-term investments. After discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 						
 discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget. Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 			-			
 Mr. Miller will provide an update to the Committee immediately following the meeting. With this adjustment to FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 						
 FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550. Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 		discussion it was determined the bank fees should read \$167 a month, not \$360 a month as shown on the budget.				
 Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 						
 Ms. Meagher questioned the need for IT support if HB 1665 passes. Mr. Miller stated that per discussion at the last board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no word on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities. 		FY2021 budget total should read \$146,550.				
board meeting any costs related to HB 1665 would be included in a separate budget. As of today, there is no wordon passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities.						
176 on passage of the bill due to the suspended General Court activities.						
1//						
178 Brief discussion ensued as to voting on the budget or moving the process to the June Board meeting. Ms. Tenney						
179 recommended we move forward so the Audit Committee has a recommendation for the Board in June.			imendation for the Board in June.			
181 VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Ms. Tenney, seconded by Mr. Margus, it was unanimously						
182 VOTED: To approve the proposed FY2021 Administrative Budget with the bank fees amond to 5167 a month totaling $52,000$ for the year						
183 amend to \$167 a month, totaling \$2,000 for the year. 184			52,000 jur lite year.			
186			Possetti thanked the Committee for allowing			
186 187 Mr. Sky opened it up for comments from members of the Public Mr. Rossetti thanked the Committee for allowing			Nossetti thankeu the committee for allowing			
187 Mr. Sky opened it up for comments from members of the Public. Mr. Rossetti thanked the Committee for allowing	100	=				
	189	4				



190	7.	Adjournment					
191 192 193 194 195 196	VOTE RECORDED: On a motion by Mr. Margus, seconded by Ms. Tenney, it was unanimously VOTED: To adjourn the Audit Committee meeting at 10:03 am						
197 198 199 200 201	Respectfully submitted by Patrick Miller, Helms & Company, Inc. June 13, 2019						
202		# # #					